Public Document Pack

Standards Committee



Please contact: Matthew Stembrowicz

Please email: Matthew.Stembrowicz@north-norfolk.gov.uk

Please Direct Dial on: 01263 516047

23 September 2019

A meeting of the **Standards Committee** of North Norfolk District Council will be held in the Council Chamber - Council Offices, Holt Road, Cromer, NR27 9EN on **Tuesday, 1 October 2019** at **2.00 pm**.

At the discretion of the Chairman, a short break will be taken after the meeting has been running for approximately one and a half hours

Members of the public who wish to ask a question or speak on an agenda item are requested to arrive at least 15 minutes before the start of the meeting. It will not always be possible to accommodate requests after that time. This is to allow time for the Committee Chair to rearrange the order of items on the agenda for the convenience of members of the public. Further information on the procedure for public speaking can be obtained from Democratic Services, Tel:01263 516047, Email:Matthew.Stembrowicz@north-norfolk.gov.uk.

Anyone attending this meeting may take photographs, film or audio-record the proceedings and report on the meeting. Anyone wishing to do so should inform the Chairman. If you are a member of the public and you wish to speak on an item on the agenda, please be aware that you may be filmed or photographed.

Emma Denny Democratic Services Manager

To: Mr N Pearce, Mr J Rest, Mr A Brown, Dr P Butikofer, Mr N Dixon, Mrs G Perry-Warnes and Miss L Shires

All other Members of the Council for information. Members of the Management Team, appropriate Officers, Press and Public



If you have any special requirements in order to attend this meeting, please let us know in advance

If you would like any document in large print, audio, Braille, alternative format or in a different language please contact us

Heads of Paid Service: Nick Baker and Steve Blatch
Tel 01263 513811 Fax 01263 515042 Minicom 01263 516005
Email districtcouncil@north-norfolk.gov.uk Web site www.north-norfolk.gov.uk

AGENDA

1. TO RECEIVE APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

2. PUBLIC QUESTIONS

3. MINUTES

No previous minutes to approve.

4. ITEMS OF URGENT BUSINESS

To determine any items of business which the Chairman decides should be considered as a matter of urgency pursuant to Section 100B (4) (b) of the Local Government Act 1972.

5. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Members are asked at this stage to declare any interests that they may have in any of the following items on the agenda. The Code of Conduct for Members requires that declarations include the nature of the interest and whether it is a pecuniary interest.

6. DISTRICT MEMBERS' REGISTER OF INTERESTS AND OFFICER REGISTER OF GIFTS AND HOSPITALITY

Members are reminded that the Parish and District Members' Register of Interests and Officer Register of Gifts and Hospitality are available for inspection in the Democratic Services section.

7. MONITORING OFFICER'S ANNUAL REPORT 2018/19

To receive the Monitoring Officer's Annual Report which summarises the key matters arising from the Monitoring Officer's work for the District Council from 1 April 2018 to 31 March 2019 and comments on other current issues.

1 - 8

Summary: This report informs Members of the outcome of

the Committee on Standards in Public Life review

of Local Government Ethical Standards.

Options considered: N/a.

Conclusions: The best practice identified is, in most cases,

already operating in a number of local authorities. Taken as a whole, this best practice represents a benchmark that any local authority in England can and should implement in their own

organisation.

Recommendations: It is recommended that the Committee agrees:

1. To note the report and the contents of the review.

ieview.

2. To endorse the actions contained within paragraph 3.6 in relation to Best Practice recommendations.

Reasons for

Recommendations: To keep Members up-to-date with developments

with the ethical standards regime and seek approval for actions to be taken in light of the

review.

LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS AS REQUIRED BY LAW

(Papers relied on to write the report, which do not contain exempt information and which are not published elsewhere)

Cabinet Member(s) Ward(s) affected

N/a Al

Contact Officer, telephone number and email:

Emma Duncan, 01263 516045, Emma.Duncan@north-norfolk.gov.uk

9. ANY OTHER BUSINESS (TO INCLUDE AN UPDATE ON RECENT STANDARDS COMPLAINTS)

10. EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC

To pass the following resolution:

"That under Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act 1972 the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in paragraphs 1 and 3 of Part I of Schedule 12A (as amended) to the Act".



Agenda Item 7

Monitoring Officer Annual Report 2018/19

Section Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The Monitoring Officer's Work April 2018 March 2019
- 3 Key Messages
- 4 Looking Forward
- 5 Overall opinion on the adequacy and effectiveness of the Governance framework

Monitoring Officer Annual Report 2018/19

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The Monitoring Officer's Annual Report summarises the more important matters arising from the Monitoring Officer's work for the Council from 1 April 2018 to 31 March 2019 and comments on other current issues.
- 1.2 Corporate Governance is the system by which local authorities direct and control their functions and relate to their communities. It is founded on the fundamental principles of openness, integrity and accountability together with the overarching concept of leadership. In this respect, North Norfolk District Council recognises the need for sound corporate governance arrangements and has put in place policies, systems and procedures designed to achieve this.
- 1.3 The Monitoring Officer is appointed under Section 5 of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989 and has a number of statutory functions in addition to those conferred under the Local Government Act 2000 and subsequent regulations governing local investigations into Member conduct. These are outlined in the next section of the report.

2. The Monitoring Officer's Work April 2018 - March 2019

The appointment of the current Monitoring Officer was confirmed by Council on 21 September 2017 and has carried out the statutory functions since that time.

Duties	Work undertaken
(a) Maintaining a lawful position for the Council and reporting on contraventions or likely contraventions of any enactment or rule of law including fraud.	The Monitoring Officer is a member of the Council's Corporate Leadership Team, together with the Heads of Paid Service and the Chief Financial Officer and is able to comment on issues discussed there. The Council's in house legal team, Eastlaw. provide advice and assistance to officers throughout the Council and report to the Monitoring Officer on any areas of concern in relation to lawfulness and compliance with the Council's protocols and processes.
	The Monitoring Officer and her staff attend meetings and provide advice to officers and Members at an early stage, including seeing reports to committee.

	The Monitoring Officer also requires appropriate recording of delegated authority to evidence compliance with the Constitution. eastlaw assess and respond to either changes in the legal framework and in particular this year have led on the implementation of GDPR. No contraventions have been identified or reported.
(b) Report any findings of maladministration causing injustice where the Ombudsman has carried out an investigation.	The Monitoring Officer reviews any complaints where the LGO has upheld the complaint. There has been no findings of maladministration.
(c) Establish and maintain the Register of Member's interests and gifts and hospitality.	All Members of both the District and Parish Councils completed new returns following the elections in May 2015. Members are reminded to keep these up to date. Members have been issued with Guidance on the Code. Members making nil returns have been reminded of their obligations under the Code. The Register of Members' Interests is publicised on the Council's website. The Registers are available for inspection at the Council's offices.
(d) Maintain Register of Employees gifts and hospitality.	The Registers have been updated regularly and are open to inspection.
(e) Investigate misconduct in respect of District, Parish and Town Councillors under the Code of Conduct.	During the year between April 2018 and March 2019 a total of 24 complaints have been received. This compares to last year's figure of 20 complaints.
	23 complaints relate to parish and town councils.

The most common source of complaints were unclear governance procedures and also alleged disrespect to others. In a significant proportion of these cases there was either no breach identified or the members concerned were offered guidance and assistance. Where appropriate political Group Leaders have been asked to underline the importance of Member respecting the provisions of the Code and other Protocols. In some cases the parish councils were offered assistance through mediation and conciliation to resolve the issues themselves rather than having solutions imposed upon them. No cases were referred for investigation. Advice is being offered to parishes through 121, the Council's e-briefing to help parishes avoid complaints. Members have regularly sought advice in order to comply with the Code of Conduct, particularly in relation to declaring interests under the Code. Members have been provided with guidance through the provision of briefing notes through the Member's Bulletin. (f) Investigate breaches of the Council's own There have been no alleged breaches of the protocols. Council's own protocols. (g) Provide advice to Town and Parish The Monitoring Officer has provided advice Councils on the interpretation of the Code of to Parish Councils on the Standards and Conduct. Conduct Arrangements during 2018/19 face to face, by letter, telephone and email. The Monitoring Officer has provided advice and assistance to a number of parishes through interventions to raise standards and

	deal with complaints. Further advice is being provided to parishes/towns to help them comply with their obligations under the Code through 121, the Council's e-briefing for parishes/towns.
(h) Promote and support high standards of conduct through support to the Standards Committee.	The Committee has met to consider issues and hear cases. The Member Officer Protocol and
	Constitution has been updated. The Independent Person arrangements are working well.
(i) Compensation for maladministration.	The Council has made one payment of £400 to a complainant as a gesture of goodwill. No maladministration was found.
(j) Maintenance and review of the Constitution.	The Constitution has been revised and updated during the year through the input of the Constitution Working Party and the Standards Committee.
	A Working Arrangements Protocol had been developed and in place to deal with the changes to political control.
(k) Responsibility for complaints made under the Council's Whistleblowing and Anti-Fraud policies.	The risks of fraud are managed through the Council's anti fraud and corruption policies and underpinned by the financial and contract procedure rules. These are monitored for compliance by the legal and finance teams.
	Employees are made aware of the anti fraud policies and their ability to report through the Council's intranet and the Briefing.
	There have been no reports of fraud or financial impropriety.
(I) Breaches of the Employee Code of Conduct.	Employees are reminded through the Council's internal communications regarding business practice and ethical behaviour.
	There have no formal allegation of breaches under the Employee Code of Conduct.

(m) Advice on vires issues, maladministration, financial impropriety, probity and policy framework.	The Monitoring Officer has been consulted on new policy proposals, the budget and accounts and on matters, which have potentially significant legal implications. The Monitoring Officer meets regularly with the Chief Financial Officer. The financial statements are subject to a robust governance process through the Committee cycle. The Monitoring Officer and her staff have attended Council and other Committees as necessary. Officers consult the Monitoring Officer regularly on vires and probity issues.
	The Monitoring Officer works closely with the Chief Financial Officer and the Heads of Paid Service to ensure probity in the organisation.
	The Monitoring Officer regularly advises on the legality and/or appropriateness of administrative procedures, in conjunction with the Democratic Services Team.
	The Monitoring Officer meets regularly with the Group Leaders to share issues.
	This year has seen the implementation of GDPR and the introduction of an Information Risk Framework.
(n) Exemptions to contract standing orders	10 exemptions have been allowed this year, mainly in relation to specialist services and the applicable provisions under the Constitution have been followed.
(o) actual or potential litigation or claims that would have a significant effect on the entity or a material impact on the financial statements	None identified. A full list of litigation matters has been provided to the Council's external auditors.

3. Key Messages

- 3.1 The key messages to note from the year are:
- (i) The systems of internal control administered by the Monitoring Officer including compliance with the Council's Constitution were adequate and effective during the period for the purposes of the latest Regulations. However, it is important that Members and Officers are regularly reminded of their obligations and updated on any changes to ensure there is no complacency.
- (ii) The Constitution continues to be regularly updated.
- (iii) During the current year the Council has changed control from a Conservative administration to no overall control. The Monitoring Officer and Democratic Services Team Leader worked with officers and Members to reflect this change within the Council's Protocols and processes.

4. Looking Forward

- 4.1 The key issues for 2018/19 are as follows;
 - All out Council elections took place in May and a comprehensive development programme for members has been put in place.
 - Project Governance is in the process of being reviewed.
 - The contract standing orders are being reviewed.
 - Further implementation of the Information Risk Management Framework is planned.

4.2 Code of Conduct

4.2.2 A change of political control has meant that there have been issues in relation to some Member conduct, this stabilised recently.

4.3 Corporate Governance Framework

- 4.3.1 The Council will keep the Code of Corporate Governance under review, taking into account any revisions to associated guidance and any recommendations arising from audit reports.
- 4.3.2 The Monitoring Officer will continue to provide an assurance in respect of the Code and the Annual Governance Statement by way of this Annual Report.

4.4 Constitution and Regulations

- 4.4.1 The Constitution will be continue to be kept under review by the Monitoring Officer working closely with the Democratic Services Team.
- 4.4.2 It will be appropriate to continue to remind Members and staff of the importance of compliance with the Council's regulations, as set out in the Constitution and other policy framework documents, and the Monitoring Officer and other staff will give advice accordingly.

5. Overall opinion on the adequacy and effectiveness of the Governance framework

The Monitoring Officer confirms that she is not aware of;

- Any breaches of, or deficiencies in, internal control in respect of fraud or compliance with relevant legal provisions that could have a significant effect on the entity or a material impact on the financial statements;
- Any actual, suspected or alleged frauds or breaches of legislative requirements during 2018/19;
- Any excessive or undue pressure to meet financial or operating targets that may unduly influence the actions of either those charged with governance or Management;
- Any actual or potential litigation or claims that would have a significant effect on the entity or a material impact on the financial statements;
- Any circumstances that would call into question the preparation of the financial statements on an ongoing basis.

That the systems of internal control administered by the Monitoring Officer including the Code of Conduct and the Council's Constitution, were adequate and effective during the year between April 2018 and March 2019 for the purposes of the latest regulations (subject to the areas outlined above).

Emma Duncan Monitoring Officer 31/3/19

CONSULTATION ON ETHICAL STANDARDS

Summary: This report informs Members of the outcome of the

Committee on Standards in Public Life review of Local

Government Ethical Standards.

Options considered: N/a

Conclusions: The best practice identified is, in most cases, already

operating in a number of local authorities. Taken as a whole, this best practice represents a benchmark that any local authority in England can and should

implement in their own organisation.

Recommendations: It is recommended that the Committee agrees:

1. To note the report and the contents of the

review.

2. To endorse the actions contained within paragraph 3.6 in relation to Best Practice

recommendations.

Reasons for Recommendations:

To keep Members up-to-date with developments with the ethical standards regime and seek approval for

actions to be taken in light of the review.

LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS AS REQUIRED BY LAW

(Papers relied on to write the report, which do not contain exempt information and which are not published elsewhere)

Cabinet Member(s) Ward(s) affected

N/a Al

Contact Officer, telephone number and email:

Emma Duncan, 01263 516045, Emma.Duncan@north-norfolk.gov.uk

1. Background Information

1.1 On 25 May 2019, the coalition government announced its intention to abolish the Standards Board regime set out in Part 3 of the Local Government Act 2000. The government accepted that it was important to have safeguards in place to prevent the abuse of power and misuse of public money, given that those who elected members to office had the right to expect the highest standards of behaviour. However, it considered that the standards regime under the LGA 2000, under which all local authorities by law had to adopt a national code of conduct and a standards committee to oversee the behaviour of members and receive complaints, regulated by Standards for England, was ineffective, bureaucratic and encourage petty complaints or harmful accusations. It therefore proposed that, through the Localism Act 2011, local authorities would draw up their own local codes of

- conduct and it would become a criminal offence for members to deliberately withhold or misrepresent a financial interest.
- 1.2 However, concerns were raised by the Committee on Standards in Public Life (CSPL) in various reports, following the implementation of the Localism Act 2011 as to whether the sanctions for breach of standards were adequate and that it would therefore be monitoring the implementation of the new local government standards regime.

2. CSPL Review

- 2.1 The CSPL undertook a review with the following terms of reference:
- 2.2 Examine the structures, processes and practices in local government in England for:
 - (a) Maintaining codes of conduct for local councillors
 - (b) Investigating alleged breaches fairly and with due process
 - (c) Enforcing codes and imposing sanctions for misconduct
 - (d) Declaring interests and managing conflicts of interest
 - (e) Whistleblowing
- 2.3 Assess whether the existing structures, processes and practices are conducive to high standards of conduct in local government
 - 1. Make any recommendations for how they can be improved
 - 2. Note any evidence of intimidation of councillors, and make recommendations for any measures that could be put in place to prevent and address such intimidation
- 2.4 The review covered all local authorities in England, of which there are 353 principal authorities, with 18,111 councillors in 2013, and an estimated 10,000 parish councils in England, with around 80,000 parish councillors. They did not take evidence relating to Combined Authorities, metro mayors, or the Mayor of London. The Monitoring Officers gave advice to the CSPC on the regime applying to parishes.

3. Heading

3.1 The review report runs to over 100 pages and the list of recommendations is as follows:-

Number	Recommendation	Responsible body
1	The Local Government Association should create an updated model code of conduct, in consultation with representative bodies of councillors and officers of all tiers of local government.	Government
2	The government should ensure that candidates standing for or accepting public officers are not required publicly to disclose their home	Government

	address. The Relevant Authorities (Disclosable Pecuniary Interests) Regulations 2012 should be amended to clarify that a councillor does not need to register their home address on an authority's register of interests.	
3	Councillors should be presumed to be acting in an official capacity in their public conduct, including statements on publicly-accessible social media. Section 27(2) of the Localism Bill 2011 should be amended to permit local authorities to presume so when deciding upon code of conduct breaches.	Government
4	Section 27(2) of the Localism Act 2011 should be amended to state that a local authority's code of conduct applies to a member when they claim to act, or give the impression they are acting, in their capacity as a member or a representative of the local authority.	Government
5.	The Relevant Authorities (Disclosable Pecuniary Interests) Regulations 2012 should be amended to include: unpaid directorships; trusteeships; management roles in a charity or a body of a public nature; and membership of any organisations that seek to influence opinion or public policy.	Government
6.	Local authorities should be required to establish a register of gifts and hospitality, with councillors required to record any gifts and hospitality received over a value of £50, or totalling £100 over a year from a single source. This requirement should be included in an updated model code of conduct.	Government
7.	Section 31 of the Localism Act 2011 should be repealed, and replaced with a requirement that councils include in their code of conduct that a councillor must not participate in a discussion or vote in a matter to be considered at a meeting if they have any interest, whether registered or not, "if a member of the public, with knowledge of the relevant facts, would reasonably regard the interest as so significant that it is likely to prejudice your consideration or decision-making in relation to that matter".	Government
8.	The Localism Act 2011 should be amended to require that Independent Persons are appointed for a fixed term of two years, renewable once.	Government
9.	The Local Government Transparency Code should be updated to provide that the view of the Independent Person in relation to a decision on which they are consulted should be formally recorded in any decision notice or minutes.	Government
10.	A local authority should only be able to suspend a councillor where the authority's Independent	Government

	Person agrees both with the finding of a breach	
	and that suspending the councillor would be a	
11	proportionate sanction. Local authorities should provide legal indemnity	Covernment/ell
11.	to Independent Persons if their views or advice	Government/all local
	are disclosed. The government should require	authorities
	this through secondary legislation if needed.	authornes
12.	Local authorities should be given the	Government
14.	discretionary power to establish a decision-	Government
	making standards committee with voting	
	independent members and voting members	
	from dependent parishes, to decide on	
	allegations and impose sanctions.	
13.	Councillors should be given the right to appeal	Government
	to the Local Government Ombudsman if their	
	local authority imposes a period of suspension	
	for breaching the code of conduct.	
14.	The Local Government Ombudsman should be	Government
	given the power to investigate and decide upon	
	an allegation of a code of conduct breach by a	
	councillor, and the appropriate sanction, on	
	appeal by a councillor who has had a	
	suspension imposed. The Ombudsman's	
	decision should be binding on the local	
15	authority.	0
15.	The Local Government Transparency Code	Government
	should be updated to require councils to publish annually: the number of code of conduct	
	complaints they receive; what the complaint	
	broadly relates to (eg. bullying; conflict of	
	interest); the outcome of those complaints,	
	including if they are rejected as trivial or	
	vexatious; and any sanctions applied.	
16.	Local authorities should be given the power to	Government
	suspend councillors, without allowances, for up	
	to six months.	
17.	The government should clarify if councils may	Government
	lawfully bar councillors from council premises or	
	withdraw facilities as sanctions. These powers	
	should be put beyond doubt in legislation if	
40	necessary.	
18.	The criminal offences in the Localism Act 2011	Government
	relating to Disclosable Pecuniary Interests should be abolished.	
10		Parish councils
19.	Parish council clerks should hold an appropriate qualification, such as those	ransii counciis
	provided by the Society of Local Council Clerks.	
20.	Section 27(3) of the Localism Act 2011 should	Government
20.	be amended to state that parish councils must	Coverninent
	adopt the code of conduct of their principal	
	authority, with the necessary amendments, or	
	the new model code.	
21.	Section 28(11) of the Localism Act 2011 should	Government
	be amended to state that any sanction imposed	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

	_	
	on a parish councillor following the finding of a breach is to be determined by the relevant principal authority.	
22.	The Local Authorities (Standing Orders) (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2015 should be amended to provide that disciplinary protections for statutory officers extend to all disciplinary action, not just dismissal.	Government
23.	The Local Government Transparency Code should be updated to provide that local authorities must ensure that their whistleblowing policy specifies a named contact for the external auditor alongside their contact details, which should be available on the authority's website.	Government
24.	Councillors should be listed as "prescribed persons" for the purposes of the Public Interest Disclosure Act 1998.	Government
25.	Councillors should be required to attend formal induction training by their political groups. National parties should add such a requirement to their model group rules.	Political Groups National political parties
26.	Local Government Association corporate peer reviews should also include consideration of a local authority's processes for maintaining ethical standards.	Local Government Association

3.2 Best Practice

In addition to the above recommendations the Review published a list of best practice recommendations which they expect should be implemented and they intend to review the implementation of their best practice in 2020.

Best practice 1: Local authorities should include prohibitions on bullying and harassment in codes of conduct. These should include a definition of bullying and harassment, supplemented with a list of examples of the sort of behaviour covered by such a definition.

- Comment: Our code requires Members to treat others with respect but does not prohibit bullying and harassment and does not contain any definition or examples of the sort of behaviour covered by such a definition.
- Recommendation: The Local Government Association are in the process of producing a model code for all authorities and this should be considered by the Committee.

Best practice 2: Councils should include provisions in their code of conduct requiring councillors to comply with any formal standards investigation, and prohibiting trivial or malicious allegations by councillors.

- Comment: Our code does not include specific provisions but provisions are included in the Protocol for investigating complaints/allegations under the Members Code of Conduct.
- Recommendation: No action at this point.

Best practice 3: Principal authorities should review their code of conduct each year and regularly seek, where possible, the views of the public, community organisations and neighbouring authorities.

- Comment: We do not review our Code of Conduct on an annual basis.
- Recommendation: The Local Government Association are in the process of producing a model code for all authorities and this should be considered by the Committee.

Best practice 4: An authority's code should be readily accessible to both councillors and the public, in a prominent position on a council's website and available in council premises.

- Comment: We comply with the best practice.
- Recommendation: No further action at this point.

Best practice 5: Local authorities should update their gifts and hospitality register at least once per quarter, and publish it in an accessible format, such as CSV.

- Comment: The register is regularly updated and is published on the Council's website under the Members Register of Interests.
- Recommendation: No further action at this point.

Best practice 6: Councils should publish a clear and straightforward public interest test against which allegations are filtered.

- Comment: The Committee has adopted and publishes a scheme for dealing with allegations that contain a public interest test.
- Recommendation: The Council complies with best practice.

Best practice 7: Local authorities should have access to at least two Independent Persons.

- Comment: We have one Independent Person.
- Recommendation: The Council consider recruitment of a further Independent Person.

Best practice 8: An Independent Person should be consulted as to whether to undertake a formal investigation on an allegation, and should be given the option to review and comment on allegations which the responsible officer is minded to dismiss as being without merit, vexatious, or trivial.

- Comment: The Independent Person is consulted on all complaints.
- Recommendation: The Council is fully compliant.

Best practice 9: Where a local authority makes a decision on an allegation of misconduct following a formal investigation, a decision notice should be

published as soon as possible on its website, including a brief statement of facts, the provisions of the code engaged by the allegations, the view of the Independent Person, the reasoning of the decision-maker, and any sanction applied.

- Comment: In accordance with our procedures for dealing with complaints the Standards Panel decision and the minutes of the meeting are published on the website.
- · Recommendation: No further action.

Best practice 10: A local authority should have straightforward and accessible guidance on its website on how to make a complaint under the code of conduct, the process for handling complaints, and estimated timescales for investigations and outcomes.

- Comment: We comply with best practice guidance and criteria are published.
- Recommendation: No further action.

Best practice 11: Formal standards complaints about the conduct of a parish councillor towards a clerk should be made by the chair or by the parish council as a whole, rather than the clerk in all but exceptional circumstances.

- Comment: North Norfolk District Council has 121 Parish Councils.
- Recommendation: Parish Councils to be advised of CSPL best practice when making complaints.

Best practice 12: Monitoring Officers' roles should include providing advice, support and management of investigations and adjudications on alleged breaches to parish councils within the remit of the principal authority. They should be provided with adequate training, corporate support and resources to undertake this work.

- Comment: North Norfolk District Council has 121 Parish Councils and this is currently a resource intensive process.
- Recommendation: that the Committee note the contents of the report.

Best practice 13: A local authority should have procedures in place to address any conflicts of interest when undertaking a standards investigation. Possible steps should include asking the Monitoring Officer from a different authority to undertake the investigation.

- Comment: Norfolk has mutual aid arrangements to assist, in addition there a number of legally qualified staff to undertake investigations.
- Recommendation: No further action.

Best practice 14: Councils should report on separate bodies they have set up or which they own as part of their annual governance statement, and give a full picture of their relationship with those bodies. Separate bodies created by local authorities should abide by the Nolan principle of openness, and publish their board agendas and minutes and annual reports in an accessible place.

- Comment: Our annual governance statement does include reference to separate bodies.
- Recommendation: No further action.

Best practice 15: Senior officers should meet regularly with political group leaders or group whips to discuss standards issues.

- Comment: Senior officers regularly meet with the political group leaders.
- Recommendation: No further action.

4. Conclusion

4.1. The CSPL believe their recommendations represent a package of reforms to strengthen and clarify the existing framework for local government standards. Whilst many of the recommendations would require primary legislation – whose implementation would be subject to Parliamentary timetabling – they would expect that those recommendations only requiring secondary legislation or amendments to the Local Government Transparency Code could be implemented by government relatively quickly. The best practice they have identified is, in most cases, already operating in a number of local authorities. Taken as a whole, this best practice represents a benchmark that any local authority in England can and should implement in their own organisation. Ultimately, they believe that, responsibility for ethical standards rests, and should remain, with local authorities. Senior Councillors and officers must show leadership in order to build and maintain an ethical culture in their authority.

5. Implications and Risks

The Council must act in accordance with the legislative requirements of Chapter 7 (Standards) of the Localism Act 2011 when dealing with ethical standards.

6. Financial Implications and Risks

There are no direct financial implications associated with the adoption of the best practice recommended in the CSPL report when making decisions in relation to complaints/allegations made under the Members' Code of Conduct.

7. Sustainability

There are no sustainability implications.

8. Equality and Diversity

There are no equality and diversity implications.

9. Section 17 Crime and Disorder considerations

There are no crime and disorder implications.